

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING I	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,111	12/14/2		Christoph Wasshuber	TI-31016	5837
23494	7590 ISTRUMENTS	05/21/2003 S INCORPO	EXAMINER		
	55474, M/S 399		BOOTH, RICHARD A		
DALLING, I	A 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2812	
				DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/020,111	WASSHUBER ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard A. Booth	2812				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 09 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION of this application of the condition of the con	N FOR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in				
 :	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in If the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b						
(a) They raise new issues that would require furth		see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):	anarata timalutilad amaadaaat				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	ee Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a) will not be entered or be ould be rejected is provided belo) will be entered and an ow or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:	•	·				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ad bastle a Francisco				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u> </u>				
10. Other:		1/1				
		Richard A. Booth Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2812				

drain regions).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is clear from the drawings and specification of Kawasaki et al. that the species are also implanted benath the channel region (particularly at the point where the channel interfaces with

PRIMARY EXAMINER

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

the source and drain)as well as beneath the source/drain regions (note that the channel by definition is formed between the source and